arXiv:1008.4485v1 [math.AC] 26 Aug 2010

GORENSTEIN INJECTIVITY OF THE SECTION FUNCTOR

REZA SAZEEDEH

Abstract. Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring of Krull dimension d admitting a dualizing complex D and let $\mathfrak{a}$ be any ideal of R, we prove that $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(G)$ is Gorenstein injective for any Gorenstein injective R-module G. Let $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring and M be a finitely generated R-module. We show that $\mathrm{Gid}\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) < \infty$ if and only if $\mathrm{Gid}_{\hat{R}}(M \otimes_R \hat{R}) < \infty$. We also show that if $\mathrm{Gfd}_R\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) < \infty$, then $\mathrm{Gfd}_R M < \infty$. Let $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring and M be a Cohen-Macaulay module of dimension n. We prove that if $H^n_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ is of finite G-injective dimension, then $\mathrm{Gid}_R H^n_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = d - n$. Moreover, we prove that if M is a Matlis reflexive strongly torsion free module of finite G-flat dimension, then $\mathrm{Gfd}_R\hat{M} < \infty$, where $\hat{M}$ is $\mathfrak{m}$-adic completion.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Preliminaries 2
3. The main results 4
References 9

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, R is a commutative Noetherian ring (with identity). Grothendieck local cohomology theory is an effective tool for mathematicians working in the theory of commutative algebra and in algebraic geometry. There are several ways to compute these cohomology modules. For instance, following [BS], they can be computed by use of the right derived functors of the $\mathfrak{a}$-torsion functor $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(-) = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}(0 :_{(-)} \mathfrak{a}^n)$, where $\mathfrak{a}$ is an arbitrary ideal of R. One of the main theorem in local cohomology subject is Grothendieck's local duality theorem. Let $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring of dimension d admitting a dualizing module ω. The local duality theorem establishs a relation between the functors $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(-)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_R(-,\omega)$. So one may study the homological dimensions of R-modules in terms of the study of local cohomology.

The author in [S3] studied the effect of the section functor $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(-)$ on the Auslander and Bass classes of R-modules, specially when the module was Gorenstein injective, Gorenstein flat or maximal Cohen-Macaulay and $\mathfrak{a}$ was any ideal of R. In this paper we will continue this argument for some R-modules which are more general.

In this paper we show that if R admits a dualizing complex D and $\mathfrak{a}$ is any ideal of R , then $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(G)$ is Gorenstein injective for any Gorenstein injective module G (see Theorem 3.2). As a conclusion of this theorem we show that if X is a homologically left bounded complex in $D(R)$ and of finite Gorenstein injective dimension, then $\mathrm{Gid}_R\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(X) \leq \mathrm{Gid}_R X$ (see Corollary 3.3). We also prove that $-\mathrm{inf}\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(X) \leq \mathrm{Gid}_R X$ for any homologically left bounded complex X (see Theorem 3.4).

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 18E30, 16E40, 16E05, 13D05.

Key words and phrases. Strongly cotorsion, Gorenstein injective, Gorenstein flat, Local cohomology.

This research was in part supported by a grant from IPM (No. 87200025).

Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring. Another principal aim of this paper is to study of the Gorenstein homological dimension of finitely generated R-modules in terms of the Gorenstein homological dimension of its local cohomology modules at the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$. We show that if M is a finitely generated R-module, then $\mathrm{Gid}_R\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) < \infty$ if and only if $\mathrm{Gid}_{\hat{R}}(M \otimes_R \hat{R}) < \infty$. (see Theorem 3.3). In particular, if M is a non-zero cyclic R-module such that $\mathrm{Gid}_R\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) < \infty$, then R is Gorenstein (see Corollary 3.8). We also show that if M is a finitely generated R-module such that $\mathrm{Gfd}_R\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) < \infty$, then $\mathrm{Gfd}_R M < \infty$ (see Theorem 3.9).

Assume that $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension d. We shall prove that if M is a Cohen-Macaulay R- module of Krull dimension n such that $H^n_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ is of finite Gorenstein injective dimension, then $\mathrm{Gid}_R(H^n_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)) = d - n$ (see Theorem 3.10). In the end of this paper, we prove that if M is a Matlis reflexive strongly torsion free R-module with $\mathrm{Gfd}_R M < \infty$, then $\mathrm{Gfd}_{\hat{R}}\hat{M} < \infty$ (see Theorem 3.11). As a conclusion of this theorem, we prove that if $\mathrm{Gfd}_R M < \infty$, then $\mathrm{Gid}_R(\mathrm{Hom}_R(\hat{M}, \omega)) < \infty$, where ω is the dualizing module of $\hat{R}$.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we recall some definitions that we use later.

Definition 2.1. Xu [Definitions 5.4.2 and 5.4.1] has introduced the notion of a strongly torsion free and of a strongly cotorsion module. An R-module M is said to be *strongly torsion free (strongly cotorsion)* if $\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(F, M) = 0$ ($\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(F, M) = 0$) for any R-module F of finite flat dimension. One can easily show that M is strongly torsion free (strongly cotorsion) if $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(F, M) = 0$ ($\mathrm{Ext}^i_R(F, M) = 0$) for any $i \geq 1$ and any R-module F of finite flat dimension.

Definition 2.2. Following [EJ1], an R-module N is said to be *Gorenstein injective (or G-injective)* if there exists a $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{I}, -)$ exact exact sequence

$$\ldots \to E_1 \to E_0 \to E^0 \to E^1 \ldots$$

of injective R-modules such that $N = \mathrm{Ker}(E^0 \to E^1)$. Dually, an R-module M is said to be *Gorenstein flat (or G-flat)* if there exists an $\mathcal{I} \bigotimes -$ exact exact sequence

$$\ldots \to F_1 \to F_0 \to F^0 \to F^1 \to \ldots$$

of flat modules such that $M = \mathrm{Ker}(F^0 \to F^1)$.

Definition 2.3. We can define the *G-injective dimension* of an R-module N, $\mathrm{Gid}_R N$ as follows $\mathrm{Gid}_R N = \inf\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 | \text{there exists a } \mathcal{GI}\text{−resolution } N \to \mathbf{E} \text{ of length} \leq n\}$, where $\mathcal{GI}$ denotes the class of all G-injective modules. We also denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}$ ($\widetilde{\mathcal{GI}}$) the class of all modules of finite injective dimension (finite G-injective dimension). Dually, we can define the *G-flat dimension* of an R-module M, $\mathrm{Gfd}_R M$ as follows
$\mathrm{Gfd}_R M = \inf\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 | \text{there exists a } \mathcal{GF}\text{−resolution } \mathbf{F} \to M \text{ of length} \leq n\}$, where $\mathcal{GF}$ denotes the class of all G-flat modules and a $\mathcal{GF}$−resolution $\mathbf{F} \to M$ of length$\leq n$ is an exact sequence $0 \to F_m \to F_{m-1} \to \ldots \to F_0 \to M \to 0$ of R-modules such that each F_i is G-flat and $m \leq n$. We also denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ ($\widetilde{\mathcal{GF}}$) the class of all modules of finite flat dimension (finite G-flat dimension).

Definition 2.4. Over a local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ an R-module M is said to be *Matlis reflexive* if $M \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, E(R/\mathfrak{m})), E(R/\mathfrak{m}))$.

It should be noted that if M is a Matlis reflexive R-module, then any submodule and any quotient of M is Matlis reflexive. Enochs in [E] proved that if the ring R is local (not necessarily complete) and M is a Matlis reflexive, then it contains a finitely generated submodule S such that M/S is Artinian. In view of these arguments, one can easily show that if M is a Matlis reflexive R-module, then there is an isomorphism $M \cong M \otimes_R \hat{R}$.

Definition 2.5. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring and let M be an R-module. Following [F], the *width* of M is

$$\mathrm{width}_R M = \inf\{m \in \mathbb{Z} | \mathrm{Tor}_m^R(k, M) \neq 0\}.$$

In view of the Nakayama's lemma, on can easily see that if M is a non-zero finitely generated R-module, then $\mathrm{width}_R M = 0$.

Definition 2.6. Let X be a complex and let m be an integer. $\Sigma^m X$ denotes the complex X shifted m degrees (to the left); it is given by $(\Sigma^m X)_l = X_{l-m}$ and $\partial_l^{\Sigma^m X} = (-1)^m \partial_{l-m}^X$ for each $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. Also the supremum $\sup X$ and the infimum $\inf X$ of the complex X are defined by, respectively,

$$\sup X = \sup\{l \in \mathbb{Z} | \mathrm{H}_l(X) \neq 0\} \quad \text{and}$$
$$\inf X = \inf\{l \in \mathbb{Z} | \mathrm{H}_l(X) \neq 0\}$$

where $\mathrm{H}_l(X)$, l-th homology module of the complex X, is $\mathrm{H}_l(X) = \mathrm{Ker}\partial_l^X / \mathrm{Im}\partial_{l+1}^X$ for each $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. As the convention $\sup \emptyset = -\infty$ and $\inf \emptyset = \infty$. When $\mathrm{H}_l(X) = 0$ for all $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, we set $\sup X = -\infty$ and $\inf X = \infty$. We note that $\sup \Sigma^m X = \sup X + m$ and $\inf \Sigma^m X = \inf X + m$ for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 2.7. Let X and Y be two complexes of R-modules such that $H(Y)$ is right bounded. Then there exists a quasi-isomorphism (a homology isomorphism) $P \to Y$ with P a right bounded complex of projective R-modules (the complex P is usually called a *projective resolution of* Y). The symbol $\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}(Y, X)$ is defined to be the equivalent class (under quasi isomorphism) of R-complexes represented by $\mathrm{Hom}_R(P, X)$, for any projective resolution $P \to Y$. If $H(X)$ is left bounded, then there exists a quasi isomorphism $X \to I$ with I a bounded above complex of injective R-modules(the complex I is usually called an *injective resolution of* X). So we can also define $\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}(Y, X)$ as the equivalent class (under quasi isomorphism) of R-complexes represented by $\mathrm{Hom}_R(Y, I)$, for any injective resolution $X \to I$. In particular if $X = N$ and $Y = M$ be two R-modules, then we can apply each one of these approaches and in this case, for each $i \geq 0$, we have $\mathrm{Ext}_R^i(M, N) = H_{-i}(\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}(M, N))$

Definition 2.8. Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring and let $D(R)$ denote the derived category of R-complexes (see Hartshorn's book [Ha]). The complex $D \in D(R)$ is a *dualizing complex* for R if D has the following conditions:

(i) D has finite homology modules.
(ii) D has finite injective dimension.
(iii) The canonical morphism $\theta : R \to \mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_R(D, D)$ is an isomorphism.

A dualizing complex D is said to be *normalized dualizing complex* if $\sup D = \dim R$, where $\sup D = \sup\{n \in \mathbb{Z} | H_n(D) \neq 0\}$

Definition 2.9. An R-module ω is said to be a dualizing module for R if ω is a dualizing complex (concentrated in degree zero) for R.

Similar to the G-injective dimension of an R-module we can define the G-injective dimension a complex as follows.

Definition 2.10. Let $C_{\square}(R)$ denote the category of all bounded R-complexes, let $C_{\sqsubset}(R)$ denote the category of R-complexes bounded at the left and let $D_{\sqsubset}(R)$ denote homologically left-bounded complexes (see [F]). A complex $Y \in D_{\sqsubset}(R)$ is said to be of *finite G-injective dimension* if there exists a complex B in $C_{\square}(R)$ such that $Y \simeq B$ where the sign $\simeq$ denotes the quasi isomorphism between two complexes. The *G-injective dimension*, $\mathrm{Gid}_R Y$, of $Y \in D_{\sqsubset}(R)$ is defined as

$$\begin{gathered}\mathrm{Gid}_R Y = \inf\{\sup\{l \in \mathbb{Z} | B_{-l} \neq 0\} | B \in C_{\sqsubset}(R) \text{ is isomorphic to } Y \text{ in D(R)} \\ \text{and every } B_l \text{ is G-injective}\}\end{gathered}$$

Definition 2.11. Let R be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of Krull dimension d admitting a dualizing module ω and with residue field k. Following [EJX], $\mathcal{G}_0(R)$ denote the class of R-modules M such that $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(\omega, M) = \mathrm{Ext}_R^i(\omega, \omega \otimes_R M) = 0$ for all $i > 0$ and such that the natural map $M \to \mathrm{Hom}(\omega, \omega \otimes_R M)$ is an isomorphism. This class of R-modules is called *Auslander class.* Also, $\mathcal{J}_0(R)$ denote the class of R-modules N such that $\mathrm{Ext}_R^i(\omega, N) = \mathrm{Tor}_i^R(\omega, \mathrm{Hom}_R(\omega, N)) = 0$ for all $i > 0$ and such that the natural map $\omega \otimes_R \mathrm{Hom}_R(\omega, N) \to N$ is an isomorphism. This class of R-modules is called *Bass class.* It should be noted that $\mathcal{G}_0(R)$ and $\mathcal{J}_0(R)$ are also called *Foxby classes.*

Remark 2.12. It follows from [EJ1, Proposition 10.4.23] that $\mathcal{J}_0(R) = \widetilde{\mathcal{GI}}$. Moreover, according to [EJ1, Proposition 10.4.17 and Corollary 10.4.29] we have $\mathcal{G}_0(R) \subseteq \widetilde{\mathcal{GF}}$ and according to [EJ1, Theorem 10.4.10 and Theorem 10.4.28] we have $\widetilde{\mathcal{GF}} \subseteq \mathcal{G}_0(R)$. Therefore we can deduce that $\mathcal{G}_0(R) = \widetilde{\mathcal{GF}}$. When R is Gorenstein, each of the classes $\mathcal{G}_0(R)$ and $\mathcal{J}_0(R)$ contains all R-modules.

3. The main results

It follows from the proof of [S1, Theorem 3.1] that if $\mathfrak{a}$ is an ideal of a commutative Noetherian ring R (without any other conditions on R) and G is a G-injective R-module, then $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(G) = 0$ for all $i > 0$. This fact leads us to the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *Let R admit a dualizing complex D and let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal of R. If G is a G-injective R-module, then* $\mathrm{Gid}_R(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(G)) < \infty$.

Proof. It follows from [CFH, Theorem 5.9] that $\mathrm{Gid}_R(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(G)) < \infty$. In view of [S1, Theorem 3.1], for each $i > 0$ we have $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(G) = 0$. Then for each $i > 0$, we have $H^i(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(G)) = H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(G) = 0$. On the other hand, since $\mathrm{Gid}_R(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(G)) < \infty$, there exists a non-negative integer t and the following complex of R-modules

$$\mathbf{G} = 0 \to G^0 \to G^1 \to \ldots \to G^t \to 0$$

such that each G^i is G-injective and we have the quasi isomorphism of complexes $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(G) \simeq \mathbf{G}$. Therefore $H^0(\mathbf{G}) \cong \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(G)$ and $H^i(\mathbf{G}) = 0$ for all $i > 0$. This fact implies that the complex

$$0 \to \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(G) \to G^0 \to G^1 \to \ldots \to G^t \to 0$$

is acyclic and so it is a finite G-injective resolution of $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(G)$. Therefore $\mathrm{Gid}_R(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(G)) < \infty$. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring of Krull dimension d admitting a dualizing complex D and let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal of R. If G is a G-injective R-module, then $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(G)$ is G-injective.*

Proof. As G is G-injective, there is an exact sequence of R-modules

$$\ldots \to E_1 \to E_0 \to G \to 0$$

such that each E_i is injective and $K_i = \mathrm{Ker}(E_i \to E_{i-1})$ is G-injective. Application of the functor $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(-)$ to the above exact sequence and using [S1, Theorem 3.1], we get the following exact sequence

$$\ldots \to \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(E_1) \to \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(E_0) \to \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(G) \to 0.$$

According to Proposition 3.1, we have $\mathrm{Gid}_R(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(K_i)) < \infty$ for each i. On the other hand, if N is any R-module of finite G-injective dimension, in view of [CFH, Theorem 6.8] there exist a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of R such that $\mathrm{Gid}_R N = \mathrm{depth} R_{\mathfrak{p}} - \mathrm{width}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} N_{\mathfrak{p}}$. This fact implies that the following inequalities

$$\mathrm{Gid}_R N \leq \mathrm{depth} R_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq \dim R_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathrm{hight}\mathfrak{p} \leq d.$$

Thus $\mathrm{Gid}_R(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(K_i)) \leq d$ for each i. Now, let E be any injective R-module. In view of [H, Theorem 2.22] for each $i > d$, there are the following isomorphisms

$$0 = \mathrm{Ext}^i_R(E, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(K_{d-1})) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^{i-1}_R(E, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(K_{d-2})) \cong \ldots \cong \mathrm{Ext}^{i-d}_R(E, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(G)).$$

So for each $i > 0$, we have $\mathrm{Ext}^i_R(E, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(G)) = 0$. Now, it follows from [H, Theorem 2.22] that $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(G)$ is G-injective. □

Corollary 3.3. *Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring of Krull dimension d admitting a dualizing complex D, let $\mathfrak{a}$ be any ideal of R and let $X \in D_{\sqsubset}(R)$. Then* $\mathrm{Gid}_R \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(X) \leq \mathrm{Gid}_R X$.

Proof. If $\mathrm{Gid}_R X = \infty$, the inequality is clear. Let $\mathrm{Gid}_R X = t$ and let

$$\mathcal{I} := 0 \to I_0 \to I_{-1} \to \ldots \to I_{-t} \to I_{-(t+1)} \to \ldots$$

be an injective resolution for X. Then $K_{-i} = \mathrm{Ker}(I_{-i} \to I_{-(i+1)})$, i-th cosyzygy of $\mathcal{I}$, is G-injective for each $i \geq t$. We note that $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(X) \simeq \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathcal{I})$ and hence $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathcal{I})$ is an injective resolution of $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(X)$. On the other hand, it follows from Theorem 3.2 that $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(K_{-i})$ is G-injective for all $i \geq t$ and so this implies that $\mathrm{Gid}_R \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(X) \leq t$. □

Theorem 3.4. *Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring, let $\mathfrak{a}$ be any ideal of R and let $X \in D_{\sqsubset}(R)$. Then* $-\inf \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(X) \leq \mathrm{Gid}_R X$.

Proof. If $\mathrm{Gid}_R X = \infty$, the inequality is clear. Let $\mathrm{Gid}_R X = t$ and let

$$\mathcal{I} := 0 \to I_0 \to I_{-1} \to \ldots \to I_{-t} \to I_{-(t+1)} \to \ldots$$

be an injective resolution for X. Then $K_{-i} = \mathrm{Ker}(I_{-i} \to I_{-(i+1)})$, i-th cosyzygy of $\mathcal{I}$, is G-injective for each $i \geq t$. As $-\inf X \leq t$, the complex

$$0 \to I_{-t} \to I_{-(t+1)} \to \ldots$$

is an injective resolution for K_{-t}. On the other hand, it follows from [S1, Theorem 3.1] that

$$0 \to \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(I_{-t}) \to \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(I_{-(t+1)}) \to \ldots$$

is an injective resolution for $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(K_{-t})$. Therefore $H_i(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(X)) = 0$ for all $i \leq -t$ which this implies the assertion. □

The authors in [ET2, Theorem 2.5] proved that over a commutative Noetherian local ring, an R-module M is G-injective if and only if it is cotorsion and $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\hat{R}, M)$ is G-injective as an $\hat{R}$-module. This fact leads us to the following two lemmas:

Lemma 3.5. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring of Krull dimension d and M be an Artinian R-module. Then M is a G-injective R-module if and only if it is G-injective as $\hat{R}$-module.*

Proof. As M is Artinian, there exits an exact sequence of R-modules

$$0 \to M \to E(R/\mathfrak{m})^{t_0} \to E(R/\mathfrak{m})^{t_1}$$

such that t_0 and t_1 are positive integer. Applying the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\hat{R}, -)$ to this exact sequence and using the isomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\hat{R}, E(R/\mathfrak{m})) \cong E(R/\mathfrak{m})$ induce the following exact sequence of R-modules

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}_R(\hat{R}, M) \to E(R/\mathfrak{m})^{t_0} \to E(R/\mathfrak{m})^{t_1}.$$

The five lemma implies the isomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\hat{R}, M) \cong M$. Now we prove the assertion. At first assume that M is a G-injective R-module. Using the isomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\hat{R}, M) \cong M$ and [ET2, Theorem 2.5] we conclude that M is a G-injective $\hat{R}$-module. Conversely, assume that M is a G-injective $\hat{R}$ module. So there exists an exact sequence of injective $\hat{R}$-modules

$$\ldots \to E_1 \to E_0 \to M \to 0$$

such that each $K_i = \mathrm{Ker}(E_i \to E_{i-1})$ is a G-injective $\hat{R}$-module. Let F be an R-module of finite flat dimension. Since each injective $\hat{R}$-module is an injective R-module, there is the following isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1_R(F, M) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^2_R(F, K_0) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^3_R(F, K_1) \cong \ldots \cong \mathrm{Ext}^{d+1}_R(F, K_{d-1}).$$

It follows from [RG, p. 84] that $\mathrm{pd}_R F \leq d$. This fact implies that M is a strongly cotorsion R-module and so is a cotorsion R-module. Now the result follows by [ET2, Theorem 2.5]. □

Lemma 3.6. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring and M be an Artinian R-module. Then $\mathrm{Gid}_R M = \mathrm{Gid}_{\hat{R}} M$.*

Proof. Let $\mathrm{Gid}_R M = s$. Since M is Artinian, using [EJ2, Lemma 4.2], there exists an exact sequence of R-modules

$$0 \to M \to E(R/\mathfrak{m})^{t_0} \to E(R/\mathfrak{m})^{t_1} \to \ldots$$

such that each t_i is positive integer and $K^s = \mathrm{Ker}(E(R/\mathfrak{m})^{t_s} \to E(R/\mathfrak{m})^{t_{s+1}})$ is an Artinian G-injective R-module. It follows from Lemma 3.5 that K^s is G-injective as an $\hat{R}$-module and so $\mathrm{Gid}_{\hat{R}} M \leq s$. Conversely assume that $\mathrm{Gid}_{\hat{R}} M = r$. By a similar proof and using Lemma 3.5, we deduce that $\mathrm{Gid}_R M \leq r$, and hence the assertion follows. □

Theorem 3.7. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring, and let M be a finitely generated R-module. Then $\mathrm{Gid}_R \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) < \infty$ if and only if $\mathrm{Gid}_{\hat{R}}(M \otimes_R \hat{R}) < \infty$.*

Proof. Let $\mathrm{Gid}\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = t$ and let

$$\mathcal{I} := 0 \to I_0 \to I_{-1} \to \ldots \to I_{-t} \to I_{-(t+1)} \to \ldots$$

be an injective resolution of M. Then there is the following quasi isomorphisms

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}\hat{R}}(M \otimes_R \hat{R}) \simeq \Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}\hat{R}}(\mathcal{I} \otimes_R \hat{R}) \simeq \Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathcal{I}).$$

Let $K_{-i} = \mathrm{Ker}(I_{-i} \to I_{-(i+1)})$, i-th cosyzygy of $\mathcal{I}$. Since $\mathrm{Gid}_R \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = t$, we conclude that $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(K_{-i})$ is a G-injective artinian R-module for each $i \geq t$. It follows from Lemma 3.6 that $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(K_{-i})$ is G-injective $\hat{R}$-module for each $i \geq t$ and hence $\mathrm{Gid}_{\hat{R}} \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}\hat{R}}(M \otimes_R \hat{R}) \leq t$. Now, since $\hat{R}$ admits a dualizing complex, the result follows from [CFH, Theorem 5.9]. Conversely, assume that $\mathrm{Gid}(M \otimes_R \hat{R}) = s$ and let

$$\mathcal{J} := 0 \to J_0 \to J_{-1} \to \ldots \to J_{-s} \to J_{-(s+1)} \to \ldots$$

be an $\hat{R}$- injective resolution of $M \otimes_R \hat{R}$. It follows from [EJ2, Lemma 4.2] that $L_{-i} = \mathrm{Ker}(J_{-i} \to J_{-(i+1)})$, i-th cosyzygy of $\mathcal{J}$, is G-injective for each $j \geq s$. On the other hand, since $M \otimes_R \hat{R}$ is finitely generated, $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}\hat{R}}(L_{-i})$ is artinian for each i. Thus, since $\hat{R}$ admits a dualizing complex, in view of Theorem 3.2, the module $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}\hat{R}}(L_{-s})$ is G-injective artinian $\hat{R}$-module and so is G-injective R-module by Lemma 3.6. Therefore, $\mathrm{Gid}_R(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}\hat{R}}(\mathcal{J})) < \infty$. Now the result follows by the following quasi isomorphisms

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) \simeq \mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}\hat{R}}(M \otimes_R \hat{R}) \simeq \Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}\hat{R}}(\mathcal{J}).$$

□

Corollary 3.8. *Let* $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ *be a local ring and let* M *be a non-zero cyclic* R*-module such that* $\mathrm{Gid}_R\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)<\infty$*. Then* R *is Gorenstein.*

Proof. It is straightforward to show that if M is a cyclic R-module, then $M\otimes_R\hat{R}$ is a cyclic $\hat{R}$-module too. Now, it follows from the theorem above that $\mathrm{Gid}_{\hat{R}}(M\otimes_R\hat{R})<\infty$. Thus, according to [FFr, Theorem 4.5] the ring $\hat{R}$ is Gorenstein. Therefore, R is Gorenstein. □

It follows from [CFH, Theorem 5.9] that if R is a commutative Noetherian ring admitting a dualizing complex, $\mathfrak{a}$ is any ideal contained in radical of R and M is a finitely generated R-module, then $\mathrm{Gfd}_R\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)<\infty$ implies that $\mathrm{Gfd}_RM<\infty$. In the following theorem, with a different proof we prove that if $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ is a local ring and $\mathfrak{a}=\mathfrak{m}$, then the result is true without the condition existence a dualizing complex for R

Theorem 3.9. *Let* $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ *be a local ring of Krull dimension* d *and let* M *be a finitely generated* R*-module. If* $\mathrm{Gfd}_R\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)<\infty$*, then* $\mathrm{Gfd}_RM<\infty$*.*

Proof. As M is finitely generated, there is a quasi isomorphism of R-complexes $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)\simeq\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}\hat{R}}(M\otimes_R\hat{R})$. This fact and the assumption imply that $\mathrm{Gfd}_{\hat{R}}\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}\hat{R}}(M\otimes_R\hat{R})<\infty$. On the other hand in view of [ET1, Corollary 3.5], if $\mathrm{Gfd}_{\hat{R}}(M\otimes_R\hat{R})<\infty$, then $\mathrm{Gfd}_RM<\infty$. So we may assume that R is a complete local ring; and hence R admits a dualizing complex C. If we consider $n=d-\sup C$, then $D=\Sigma^nC$ is a normalized dualizing complex for R, where Σ^nC denotes the complex C shifted (to the left) n degrees (see [F, 15.7]). According to the local duality theorem for local cohomology there is a quasi isomorphism of R-complexes $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)\simeq\Sigma^{-d}\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathrm{Hom}_R(M,D),E(R/\mathfrak{m}))$. The assumption $\mathrm{Gfd}_R\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)<\infty$ and [CFH, Theorem 5.3] imply that $\mathrm{Gid}_R((\mathrm{Hom}_R(M,D))<\infty$; and hence there is a complex

$$\mathcal{G}=0\to G_0\to G_{-1}\to\ldots\to G_{-t}\to 0$$

such that $t\in\mathbb{N}_0$ and each G_i is G-injective and there is the quasi isomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}_R(M,D)\simeq\mathcal{G}$. It follows from [F, 15.10] that $M=\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathrm{Hom}_R(M,D),D)$ and so in order to prove our claim, it suffices to show that $\mathrm{Gfd}_R(\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathrm{Hom}_R(M,D),D))<\infty$. In view of [F, 6.15], there is a quasi isomorphism of R-complexes $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathrm{Hom}_R(M,D),D)\simeq\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathcal{G},D)$. Let

$$D=0\to I_0\to I_{-1}\to\ldots\to I_{-n}\to 0$$

where $n\in\mathbb{N}_0$ and each I_i is injective. We note that for each $j\geq 0$, there is $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathcal{G},D)_j=\bigoplus_{i=0}^{t}\mathrm{Hom}_R(G_{-i},I_{-i+j})$. It follows from [CFH, Theorem 5.3] that each $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathcal{G},D)_j$ is G-flat. On the other hand the quasi isomorphism $M=\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathrm{Hom}_R(M,D),D)\simeq\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathcal{G},D)$ of R-complexes implies the following exact sequence R-modules

$$0\to\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathcal{G},D)_s\to\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathcal{G},D)_{s-1}\to\ldots\to\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathcal{G},D)_0\to M\to 0$$

where $s\leq t+n$. Thus $\mathrm{Gfd}_RM<\infty$. □

Theorem 3.10. *Let* $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ *be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension* d *and let* M *be a Cohen-Macaulay* R*-module of dimension* n*. If* $H^n_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ *is of finite G-injective dimension, then* $\mathrm{Gid}_RH^n_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)=d-n$*. In particular, if* M *is maximal Cohen-Macaulay, then* $H^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ *is G-injective.*

Proof. As $H^n_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ is Artinian, there are the following isomorphisms

$$H^n_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)\cong H^n_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)\otimes_R\hat{R}\cong H^n_{\mathfrak{m}\hat{R}}(M\otimes_R\hat{R}).$$

We note that $M\otimes_R\hat{R}$ is a Cohen-Macaulay $\hat{R}$-module of dimension n and by Lemma 3.6, we have $\mathrm{Gid}_R(H^n_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)=\mathrm{Gid}_{\hat{R}}(H^n_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)\otimes_R\hat{R})=\mathrm{Gid}_{\hat{R}}(H^n_{\mathfrak{m}\hat{R}}(M\otimes_R\hat{R}))$. So we may assume that R is a complete local ring and hence R has a dualizing module. Using the local duality theorem for local cohomology, we have the isomorphism $H^n_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)\cong\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathrm{Ext}^{d-n}_R(M,\omega),E(R/\mathfrak{m}))$ where ω is a dualizing module of R. It follows from [BH, Theorem 3.3.10] that $\mathrm{Ext}_R^{d-n}(M,\omega)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay R-module of dimension n and by the previous isomorphism and the assumption it has finite G-flat dimension. Now, in view of [H1, Theorem 3.19] and [CFFr, Theorem 2.8], we have $\mathrm{Gfd}_R(\mathrm{Ext}_R^{d-n}(M,\omega)) = \mathrm{depth}R - \mathrm{depth}(\mathrm{Ext}_R^{d-n}(M,\omega)) = d-n$. The fact that $E(R/\mathfrak{m})$ is injective cogenerator and [CFH, Theorem 5.3] imply that $\mathrm{Gid}_R(H_\mathfrak{m}^n(M)) = d-n$. The second claim follows easily because $\mathrm{dim}M = d$. □

Theorem 3.11. *Let* $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ *be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension* d *and let* M *be a Matlis reflexive strongly torsion free* R*-module. If* $\mathrm{Gfd}_R M < \infty$*, then* $\mathrm{Gfd}_{\hat{R}}\hat{M} < \infty$.

Proof. There are the following isomorphisms

$$\hat{M} \cong \lim_{\overleftarrow{n}} M/\mathfrak{m}^n M \cong \lim_{\overleftarrow{n}}(R/\mathfrak{m}^n \otimes_R M) \cong \lim_{\overleftarrow{n}}(R/\mathfrak{m}^n \otimes_R \mathrm{Hom}_R(\check{M}, E(R/\mathfrak{m})))$$

$$\cong \lim_{\overleftarrow{n}}(\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathrm{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}^n, \check{M}), E(R/\mathfrak{m})))$$

$$\cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(\lim_{\overrightarrow{n}}\mathrm{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}^n, \check{M}), E(R/\mathfrak{m})) \cong (\Gamma_\mathfrak{m}(\check{M}))\check{}$$

where $(-)\check{} = \mathrm{Hom}_R(-, E(R/\mathfrak{m}))$ is the Matlis duality functor. One can easily show that $\check{M}$ is strongly cotorsion and Matlis reflexive. Application of [S2, Corollary 3.3] implies that $\Gamma_\mathfrak{m}(\check{M})$ is strongly cotorsion and Matlis reflexive. On the other hand, since M is of finite G-flat dimension, one easily conclude that $\check{M}$ is of finite G-injective dimension. The fact that $\check{M}$ is a Matlis reflexive R-module implies the isomorphism $\check{M} \cong \check{M} \otimes_R \hat{R}$ and since $\check{M}$ is strongly cotorsion, we have $\mathrm{Ext}_R^i(\hat{R}, \check{M}) = 0$ for all $i > 0$. Moreover, there are the following isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(\hat{R}, \check{M}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(M \otimes_R \hat{R}, E(R/\mathfrak{m})) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, E(R/\mathfrak{m})) \cong \check{M}.$$

Thus it follows from [ET2, Theorem 2.7] that $\check{M} \in \mathcal{J}_0(\hat{R})$. Therefore the equality $\Gamma_\mathfrak{m}(\check{M}) = \Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}\hat{R}}(\check{M})$ and [S3, Proposition 4.9] imply that $\Gamma_\mathfrak{m}(\check{M}) \in \mathcal{J}_0(\hat{R})$ and so $\Gamma_\mathfrak{m}(\check{M})$ is of finite G-injective dimension as $\hat{R}$-module by [EJ1, Proposition 10.4.23]. Now, in view of [CFH, Theorem 5.3], we conclude that $(\Gamma_\mathfrak{m}(\check{M}))\check{}$ is of finite G-flat dimension as $\hat{R}$-module which this fact gives the assertion. □

Corollary 3.12. *Let* $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ *be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension* d *and let* M *be a Matlis reflexive strongly torsion free* R*-module. If* $\mathrm{Gfd}_R M < \infty$*, then* $\mathrm{Gid}_R(\mathrm{Hom}_R(\hat{M}, \omega)) < \infty$*, where* ω *is the dualizing module of* $\hat{R}$.

Proof. It follows from [S2, Theorem 3.2] that $\hat{M}$ is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay $\hat{R}$-module and $\mathrm{Hom}_{\hat{R}}(\hat{M}, \omega) \in \mathcal{J}_0(\hat{R})$ by [S3, Theorem 4.14]. Since $\hat{M}$ is a Matlis reflexive $\hat{R}$-module, we have an isomorphism $\hat{M} \otimes_R \hat{R} \cong \hat{M}$ which implies the isomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}_{\hat{R}}(\hat{M}, \omega) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(\hat{M}, \omega)$. On the other hand, since $\hat{M}$ is Matlis reflexive, there are the following isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(\hat{R}, \mathrm{Hom}_R(\hat{M}, \omega)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(\hat{R} \otimes_R \hat{M}, \omega) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(\hat{M}, \omega).$$

Moreover by considering this fact that any $\hat{R}$-injective resolution of $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\hat{M}, \omega)$ is an R-injective resolution of $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\hat{M}, \omega)$ and for any injective $\hat{R}$-module E there is an isomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}_R(\hat{R}, E) \cong E$, we deduce that $\mathrm{Ext}_R^i(\hat{R}, \mathrm{Hom}_R(\hat{M}, \omega)) = 0$ for all $i > 0$. Now, the assertion follows by [ET2, Theorem 2.7]. □

References

[BH] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 39, Revised edition, Cambridge University Press (1998).

[BS] M. Brodmann and R. Y. Sharp, *Local cohomology: an algebraic introduction with geometric applications*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 60, Cambridge University Press (1998).

[CFFr] L. W. Christensen, H.-B. Foxby, A. Frankild, *Restricted homological dimensions and Cohen-Macaulayness*, J. Algebra. **251**(2002) 479-502.

[CFH] L. W. Christensen, A. Frankild and H. Holm, *On Gorenstein projective, injective and flat dimensions- A functorial descripotion with aplications*, J. Algebra, **302**(2006), 231-279.

[E] E. Enochs, *Flat covers and flat cotorsion modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 92(1984) 179-184.

[EJ1] E. Enochs and O. M. G. Jenda, *Relative homological algebra*, de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics 30, 2000.

[EJ2] E. Enochs and O. M. G. Jenda, *Gorenstein injective dimension and Tor-depth of modules*, Arch. Math, **72**(1999), 107-117.

[EJX] E. Enochs, O. M. G. Jenda and J. Xu, *Foxby duality and Gorenstein injective and projective modules*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc **348**(1996), 3223-3234.

[ET1] M. A. Esmkhani and M. Tousi, *Gorenstein homological dimensions and Auslander categories*, J. Algebra, **308**(2007), 321-329.

[ET2] M. A. Esmkhani and M. Tousi, *Gorenstein injective modules and Auslander categories*, Arch. Math, 2007, to appear.

[F] H. B. Foxby, *Hyperhomological algebra and commutative rings*, notes in preparation.

[FFr] H. B. Foxby and A. J. Frankild, *Cyclic modules of finite Gorenstein injective dimension and Gorenstein rings*, Illinois Journal of Mathematics, **51**(2007), no. 1, 67-82.

[G] A. A. Gerko, *On Homological dimensions,* Math. Sb. **192**(2001), no. 8, 79-94.

[H] H. Holm, *Gorenstein homological dimensions*, J. Pure Appl. algebra, **189**(2004), 167-193.

[Ha] R. Hartshorne, *Residues an duality*, Lecture Notes, Springer-Verlag, 1966.

[RG] M. Raynaud and L. Gruson, *Critères de Platitude et de Projectivité*, Invent. Math. **13**(1971),1-89.

[S1] R. Sazeedeh, *Gorenstein injective modules and local cohomology*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc, **132**(2004), no. 10, 2885-2891.

[S2] R. Sazeedeh, *Strongly torsion-free, copure flat and Matlis reflexive modules*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **192**(2004), 265-274.

[S3] R. Sazeedeh, *Gorenstein injective, Gorenstein flat modules and the section functor*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **211**(2007), 773-783.

[Xu] J. Xu, *Flat covers of modules*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1634, Springer, New York, 1996.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, URMIA UNIVERSITY, P.O.BOX: 165, URMIA, IRAN-AND, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE FOR RESEARCH IN FUNDAMENTAL SCIENCES (IPM), P. O. BOX: 19395-5746, TEHRAN, IRAN

E-mail address: `rsazeedeh@ipm.ir`